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## Background

- Last major Experience Rating Plan (ERP) change was in 2004.
- Changed from a variable "maximum value" or "split point" to a single maximum value methodology.
- This maximum value is $\$ 42,500$ for all risk sizes.
- The first $\$ 42,500$ of every claim is referred to as "primary losses" and count $100 \%$ in the mod calculation.
- Added a capping rule that restricts mod change to a maximum swing of $+/-25 \%$ compared to the previous mod.


## Goal of the Research

The goal of this research was to enhance plan performance through improved predictive accuracy that incentivizes workplace safety.

PCRB conducted a comprehensive review of its methodology, which included examining all the components of the current program.

## Summary of Filed Changes

|  | Current | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | Single Split Point | Variable Split Point |
| Formula | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ap} \times \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{Ap}=\text { Actual Primary Lo } \end{array}$ | $L+E(1.000-C)$ <br> pected Loss, C = Credibility, and on Charge |
| Eligibility | \$10,000 | \$5,000 |
| Credibility | 0.283-0.938 | 0.690-0.974 |
| Expected Loss Range | 10,706-5,806,852 | 5,000-4,338,871 |
| Split Points | Single (1): \$42,500 | Variable (88): \$10,000-\$300,000 |
| Med-Only Claims | 100\% | 100\% |
| Capping \% | +-25\% | Max Mod and 40\% swing limit (2-year Transition Period*) |
| Secondary Capping | Yes (Rule \#2) | Eliminate (After Transition Period*) |

[^0]
## Current Plan - Key Findings

- Performance testing showed declines in predictive accuracy since the last major update.
- Variable Split Point (or Maximum Value) plan outperforms Single Split Point plans.
- Assigns too little credibility to most risks.
- Inadequately promotes workplace safety.
- Transition between the Merit Rating and ERP plans for small risks can be a large change.


## Picture of Ideal Plan Performance

An ideal plan results in the same loss ratios for all quintiles after the application of the mod.

Quintile Test: Ideal Result


Our target deviation from 100\% modified loss ratio is $+/-5 \%$.

## Current ERP Performance Test



## Optimization Process

## Simultaneously Optimize Four Key Components: Credibility, Split Points, Limit Charge and Expected Loss Ranges

1. Used data for Policy Years 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.

- Optimization process built using years 2015-2017 and tested using the 2018 holdout year.

2. Risks grouped into cohorts by risk size with groupings also by expected losses ranges for an experience period.
3. Examine each cohort using an array of split points at a given credibility.

- Compute test statistic to find the maximum dispersion in the manual loss ratio and the minimum dispersion in the modified loss ratio.
- Test Statistic $=\frac{\text { Variance }(\text { Modified Loss Ratio })}{\text { Variance }(\text { Manual Loss Ratio })}$



## Optimization Results

## Credibility Increased:

- Smaller risks receive markedly higher credibility.
- Larger risks receive nominally increased credibility.


## Split Points Vary:

- Split Points for smaller risks are lower.
- Split Points for larger risks are higher.


## Other Results:



- The efficiency test indicates very good results with deviations of less than 5\% from unity.
- Fewer Expected Loss ranges.


## Proposed ERP Performance Test

The following lift charts are produced using the optimized elements.
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## Distribution of Current and Proposed Mods



## Policy Count and Premium Distribution

Policy Count


Premium


## Change in Mod Type



## Capping and Eligibility Summary

## Capping

Necessary to maintain a form of capping, particularly for smaller risks, to promote mod stability.

Most states use a Maximum Mod approach to limit the upside volatility primarily on smaller risks.

The Maximum Mod helps prevent the mod from becoming excessively high due to one or more large losses that may not accurately reflect overall loss experience.

The Maximum Mod approach primarily benefits small risks while swing limits provides stability for all risks.

## Eligibility

Analyzed to address the transition between the Merit Plan and ERP plan for smaller risks.

Results show that the use of a Maximum Mod formula and potentially a lower eligibility amount improve the transition between the two plans.

Lowering the eligibility to $\$ 5,000$ results $11 \%$ more risks being experience rated.

## Distribution of Policies

| Premium | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prem $<5,000$ | 124,549 | $63 \%$ |
| $5,000<$ Prem $<7,500$ | 13,630 | $7 \%$ |
| $7,500<$ Prem $<10,000$ | 9,334 | $4 \%$ |
| $10,000<$ Prem <15,000 | 11,916 | $6 \%$ |
| Prem $>15,000$ | 43,223 | $20 \%$ |
| Total | 202,651 |  |

## Current Capping Rules

1. Final Modification Capped to $+/-25 \%$ of Prior Modification.
2. If the indicated modification is less than unity (1.000) and the capped modification is greater than unity (1.000), then the final modification shall be set equal to unity (1.000).

- This rule is referred to as "Secondary Capping" or the "Double Swing Cap".

Example

| Rule | Prior Mod | Indicated Mod | Capped Mod | Final Capped Mod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.563 | 0.827 | 1.172 | 1.000 |

## Proposed Capping Rules

- Introduction of a Maximum Mod formula for capping, which also includes a $+40 \%$ cap to limit larger annual upward movements. This will replace the current $+/-25 \%$ swing limits and the secondary capping.
- The current capping rules (+/-25\% swing limits and secondary capping) will also be kept for a two-year period to ensure mod stability as we transition to the new plan.


## Maximum Modification Factors

Max and Min Mod


## Example - Capping

Max Mod $=1.10+0.0004(E / G)$

| Exp Loss | 5,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1 M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max Mod(G=10) | 1.30 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 3.10 | 11.10 | 21.10 | 41.10 |

Current +/-25\% Capping

| Expected Loss | Prior Mod | Indicated | Final Capped Mod | Final Capped Mod | Final Capped Mod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 10,000$ | 1.02 | 2.50 | 1.28 | 1.60 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  | $=1.02 \times 125 \%$ | $=1.28 \times 125 \%$ | $=1.60 \times 125 \%$ |

## Updated Plan

| Scenario | Expected Loss | Prior Mod | Indicated | Capped Mod | Max Mod | Final Capped <br> Mod YR 1 | Final Capped <br> Mod YR 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transition | $\$ 10,000$ | 1.02 | 2.50 | $1.28(+25 \%)$ | 1.50 | 1.28 | 1.50 |
| After Transition | $\$ 10,000$ | 1.02 | 2.50 | $1.43(+40 \%)$ | 1.50 | 1.43 | 1.50 |

## Policies Capped by Various Capping Approaches

| Eligibility | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Loss | Current Plan | Max Mod |  <br> $+40 \%$ Cap |
| $<10,000$ | $1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| $10,000<=\mathrm{EL}<=25,000$ | $5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| $25,000<\mathrm{EL}<=50,000$ | $3 \%$ | $0.046 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $50,000<\mathrm{EL}<=250,000$ | $3 \%$ | $0.002 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| $>=250,000$ | $0.27 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |

The $+40 \%$ capping measure is estimated to impact about $3 \%$ of the risks

## Policy Capping During and After Transition



Note: "Capped Mod" is calculated using Policy Year 2018 data and incorporates the application of the Max Mod formula along with a $+40 \%$ capping measure. "Transition Mod" uses both Max Mod and +/-25\% swing limit capping used during the transition period.

## Impact on Premium



## Summary of Filed Changes

|  | Current | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | Single Split Point | Variable Split Point |
| Formula | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ap} \times \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{Ap}=\text { Actual Primary Lo } \end{array}$ | $L+E(1.000-C)$ <br> pected Loss, C = Credibility, and on Charge |
| Eligibility | \$10,000 | \$5,000 |
| Credibility | 0.283-0.938 | 0.690-0.974 |
| Expected Loss Range | 10,706-5,806,852 | 5,000-4,338,871 |
| Split Points | Single (1): \$42,500 | Variable (88): \$10,000-\$300,000 |
| Med-Only Claims | 100\% | 100\% |
| Capping \% | +-25\% | Max Mod and 40\% swing limit (2-year Transition Period*) |
| Secondary Capping | Yes (Rule \#2) | Eliminate (After Transition Period*) |
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## ERP Information, Tools and Reports

- Experience Rating Website Page
- News Items with links to the filing circular and exhibits
- Webinar presentation and recording
- ERP Factsheet
- FAQ
- Pamphlet (including new Mod Worksheet)
- Links to ERP tools and Reports
- Experience Modification Calculator
- Carrier Reports
- Mod Comparison Report
- 3Yr Ratings Report
- Need Help, Contact Us at
- (215) 568-2371
- centralsupport@pcrb.com
Q\&A

Appendix


PCRB

## Change in Modified Premium by Size



* Based on PY 2018 data. Premium based on mods after swing limits are applied.




## Calculation of $G$ value

| Policy Year | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Undeveloped Loss | $1,453,983,232$ | $1,635,608,758$ | $1,494,926,313$ | $1,463,998,013$ | $1,451,688,278$ |
| Undeveloped Counts <br> including Med-Only | 135,089 | 154,286 | 156,162 | 156,423 | 150,796 |
| State Average Cost Per Case | 10,763 | 10,601 | 9,573 | 9,359 | 9,627 |
| G | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 |

Selected 'G' value $=10$ (Average of five policy years)

## Credit to Debit Movements



Under the updated plan, expected loss at \$42,500 split point is around $\$ 150,000$.

| $E L<150,000$ | 1,816 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E L>150,000$ | 56 |


| Current Plan |  | Updated Plan |  | IG | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.9$ | 10 | $1<\operatorname{Mod}<1.05$ | 1,017 | 1 | 254 |
| $0.9<\operatorname{Mod}<0.95$ | 403 | $1.05<\operatorname{Mod}<1.1$ | 625 | 2 | 364 |
| $0.95<\operatorname{Mod}<0.975$ | 770 | $1.1<\operatorname{Mod}<1.15$ | 216 | 3 | 1,254 |
| 0.975 < Mod<1.0 | 689 | 1.15 < Mod<1.2 | 12 | TOTAL | 1,872 |
| Credit to Debit | 1,872 | > 1.2 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | Credit to Debit | 1,872 |  |  |
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## Distribution of Small Risks

| Mod Range | Indicated | Capped |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $0.75-0.95$ | 18,714 |  |
| $0.95-1$ | 504 |  |
| $1-1.05$ | 293 |  |
| $1.05-1.25$ | 610 | 57 |
| $1.25-1.5$ | 347 | 183 |
| $1.5-1.75$ | 208 | 208 |
| $1.75-2$ | 477 | 477 |
| $>2.0$ | 972 | 972 |
| Total | 22,125 | 1,897 |



Note: 1,897 current merit rated risks would be capped to max mod between 1.1 and 1.5.

## Policies Capped

| Mod Category | Current Plan (+/-25\%) | Updated Plan (+/-25\%) | Proposed Plan (Max Mod \& +4.0\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Debit | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Neutral | $1.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |

Most capped risks are smaller risks that are below \$25,000.

## Distribution of Mod Change and Premium



- The percentage change is calculated from the 2017 capped mod to the 2018 capped mod, based on the new plan's mod calculation method.


## ERP Credibility: Current vs. Proposed




The revised credibility curve starts at around 70\% and the maximum
credibility is around 97\%.

The new variable split points by size of risk resulted in higher credibility values during the optimization process.

## Table B: Credibilities and Maximum Values

| * Preliminary values | Expected Losses |  | Credibility* | Split Point* | Expected Losses |  | Credibility | Split Point | Expected Losses |  | Credibility | Split Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High |  |  | Low | High |  |  | Low | High |  |  |
|  | - | 5,000 | 0.690 | 10,000 | 382,034 | 405,008 | 0.785 | 73,000 | 1,422,700 | 1,467,472 | 0.881 | 190,000 |
|  | 5,000 | 11,097 | 0.692 | 11,000 | 405,008 | 428,814 | 0.788 | 75,000 | 1,467,472 | 1,513,704 | 0.884 | 194,000 |
|  | 11,097 | 17,683 | 0.694 | 13,000 | 428,814 | 453,416 | 0.791 | 77,000 | 1,513,704 | 1,561,526 | 0.887 | 198,000 |
|  | 17,683 | 23,953 | 0.697 | 15,000 | 453,416 | 478,780 | 0.794 | 80,000 | 1,561,526 | 1,611,076 | 0.890 | 202,000 |
|  | 23,953 | 29,924 | 0.699 | 17,000 | 478,780 | 504,867 | 0.797 | 83,000 | 1,611,076 | 1,662,502 | 0.893 | 206,000 |
|  | 29,924 | 35,614 | 0.701 | 19,000 | 504,867 | 531,643 | 0.800 | 86,000 | 1,662,502 | 1,715,957 | 0.896 | 210,000 |
|  | 35,614 | 41,041 | 0.703 | 21,000 | 531,643 | 559,072 | 0.803 | 89,000 | 1,715,957 | 1,771,606 | 0.899 | 215,000 |
|  | 41,041 | 55,902 | 0.706 | 23,000 | 559,072 | 587,119 | 0.806 | 92,000 | 1,771,606 | 1,829,621 | 0.902 | 220,000 |
|  | 55,902 | 68,958 | 0.711 | 25,000 | 587,119 | 615,751 | 0.809 | 95,000 | 1,829,621 | 1,890,183 | 0.905 | 225,000 |
|  | 68,958 | 80,590 | 0.715 | 27,000 | 615,751 | 644,938 | 0.812 | 98,000 | 1,890,183 | 1,953,479 | 0.908 | 230,000 |
|  | 80,590 | 91,141 | 0.718 | 29,000 | 644,938 | 674,652 | 0.815 | 102,000 | 1,953,479 | 2,019,709 | 0.911 | 235,000 |
|  | 91,141 | 100,920 | 0.722 | 31,000 | 674,652 | 704,871 | 0.818 | 106,000 | 2,019,709 | 2,089,078 | 0.914 | 240,000 |
|  | 100,920 | 110,201 | 0.725 | 33,000 | 704,871 | 735,573 | 0.821 | 110,000 | 2,089,078 | 2,161,801 | 0.917 | 245,000 |
|  | 110,201 | 119,228 | 0.728 | 35,000 | 735,573 | 766,742 | 0.824 | 114,000 | 2,161,801 | 2,238,101 | 0.920 | 250,000 |
|  | 119,228 | 128,218 | 0.731 | 37,000 | 766,742 | 798,366 | 0.827 | 118,000 | 2,238,101 | 2,318,210 | 0.923 | 255,000 |
|  | 128,218 | 137,358 | 0.734 | 39,000 | 798,366 | 830,440 | 0.830 | 122,000 | 2,318,210 | 2,402,367 | 0.926 | 260,000 |
|  | 137,358 | 146,813 | 0.737 | 41,000 | 830,440 | 862,961 | 0.833 | 126,000 | 2,402,367 | 2,490,821 | 0.929 | 265,000 |
|  | 146,813 | 156,724 | 0.740 | 43,000 | 862,961 | 895,933 | 0.836 | 130,000 | 2,490,821 | 2,583,829 | 0.932 | 270,000 |
|  | 156,724 | 167,212 | 0.743 | 45,000 | 895,933 | 929,367 | 0.839 | 134,000 | 2,583,829 | 2,681,655 | 0.935 | 275,000 |
|  | 167,212 | 178,379 | 0.746 | 47,000 | 929,367 | 963,278 | 0.842 | 138,000 | 2,681,655 | 2,784,572 | 0.938 | 280,000 |
|  | 178,379 | 190,306 | 0.749 | 49,000 | 963,278 | 997,690 | 0.845 | 142,000 | 2,784,572 | 2,892,863 | 0.941 | 285,000 |
|  | 190,306 | 203,062 | 0.752 | 51,000 | 997,690 | 1,032,631 | 0.848 | 146,000 | 2,892,863 | 3,006,815 | 0.944 | 290,000 |
|  | 203,062 | 216,698 | 0.755 | 53,000 | 1,032,631 | 1,068,138 | 0.851 | 150,000 | 3,006,815 | 3,126,727 | 0.947 | 295,000 |
|  | 216,698 | 231,254 | 0.758 | 55,000 | 1,068,138 | 1,104,253 | 0.854 | 154,000 | 3,126,727 | 3,252,905 | 0.950 | 300,000 |
|  | 231,254 | 246,756 | 0.761 | 57,000 | 1,104,253 | 1,141,026 | 0.857 | 158,000 | 3,252,905 | 3,385,661 | 0.953 | 300,000 |
|  | 246,756 | 263,220 | 0.764 | 59,000 | 1,141,026 | 1,178,516 | 0.860 | 162,000 | 3,385,661 | 3,525,316 | 0.956 | 300,000 |
|  | 263,220 | 280,654 | 0.767 | 61,000 | 1,178,516 | 1,216,788 | 0.863 | 166,000 | 3,525,316 | 3,672,201 | 0.959 | 300,000 |
|  | 280,654 | 299,053 | 0.770 | 63,000 | 1,216,788 | 1,255,914 | 0.866 | 170,000 | 3,672,201 | 3,826,650 | 0.962 | 300,000 |
|  | 299,053 | 318,410 | 0.773 | 65,000 | 1,255,914 | 1,295,976 | 0.869 | 174,000 | 3,826,650 | 3,989,009 | 0.965 | 300,000 |
|  | 318,410 | 338,707 | 0.776 | 67,000 | 1,295,976 | 1,337,061 | 0.872 | 178,000 | 3,989,009 | 4,159,630 | 0.968 | 300,000 |
|  | 338,707 | 359,924 | 0.779 | 69,000 | 1,337,061 | 1,379,268 | 0.875 | 182,000 | 4,159,630 | 4,338,871 | 0.971 | 300,000 |
|  | 359,924 | 382,034 | 0.782 | 71,000 | 1,379,268 | 1,422,700 | 0.878 | 186,000 | 4,338,871 | Above | 0.974 | 300,000 |


[^0]:    * Transition Period: The new Max Mod will apply, however the current capping rules (+/-25\% swing limits and secondary capping) will also apply for a 2-year period to ensure mod stability during the transition to the new plan.

[^1]:    * Transition Period: The new Max Mod will apply, however the current capping rules (+/-25\% swing limits and secondary capping) will also apply for a 2-year period to ensure mod stability during the transition to the new plan.

[^2]:    * Based on PY 2018 data

