PENNSYLVANIA

Compensation Rating Bureau

Trusted • Essential • Objective

Experience Rating Plan Update

Post-Filing Industry Briefing Webinar July 19, 2023

Presenters: Brent Otto, VP & Chief Actuary Peter Yoon, Director, Actuarial Research



Background

- Last major Experience Rating Plan (ERP) change was in 2004.
- Changed from a variable "maximum value" or "split point" to a single maximum value methodology.
- This maximum value is \$42,500 for all risk sizes.
- The first \$42,500 of every claim is referred to as "primary losses" and count 100% in the mod calculation.
- Added a capping rule that restricts mod change to a maximum swing of +/-25% compared to the previous mod.



Goal of the Research

The goal of this research was to enhance plan performance through improved predictive accuracy that incentivizes workplace safety.

PCRB conducted a comprehensive review of its methodology, which included examining all the components of the current program.



Summary of Filed Changes

| | Current | Proposed | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Plan | Single Split Point Variable Split Poi | | | |
| Formula | <u>Ap x C + E x C x L + E(1.000 – C)</u> E Ap = Actual Primary Loss, E = Expected Loss, C = Credibility, and | | | |
| | L = Limitation Charge | | | |
| Eligibility | \$10,000 | \$5,000 | | |
| Credibility | 0.283 - 0.938 | 0.690 - 0.974 | | |
| Expected Loss Range | 10,706 - 5,806,852 | 5,000 - 4,338,871 | | |
| Split Points | Single (1): \$42,500 | Variable (88): \$10,000 – \$300,000 | | |
| Med-Only Claims | 100% | 100% | | |
| Capping % | +-25% | Max Mod and 40% swing limit (2-year Transition Period*) | | |
| Secondary Capping | Yes (Rule #2) | Eliminate (After Transition Period*) | | |

* Transition Period: The new Max Mod will apply, however the current capping rules (+/-25% swing limits and secondary capping) will also apply for a 2-year period to ensure mod stability during the transition to the new plan.



Current Plan - Key Findings

- Performance testing showed declines in predictive accuracy since the last major update.
- Variable Split Point (or Maximum Value) plan outperforms Single Split Point plans.
- Assigns too little credibility to most risks.
- Inadequately promotes workplace safety.



• Transition between the Merit Rating and ERP plans for small risks can be a large change.



Picture of Ideal Plan Performance

An ideal plan results in the same loss ratios for all quintiles after the application of the mod.



Quintile Test: Ideal Result

Our target deviation from 100% modified loss ratio is +/- 5%.



Current ERP Performance Test





Optimization Process

Simultaneously Optimize Four Key Components: Credibility, Split Points, Limit Charge and Expected Loss Ranges

- 1. Used data for Policy Years 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.
 - Optimization process built using years 2015-2017 and tested using the 2018 holdout year.
- 2. Risks grouped into cohorts by risk size with groupings also by expected losses ranges for an experience period.
- 3. Examine each cohort using an array of split points at a given credibility.
 - Compute test statistic to find the maximum dispersion in the manual loss ratio and the minimum dispersion in the modified loss ratio.
 - Test Statistic = $\frac{Variance(Modified Loss Ratio)}{Variance(Manual Loss Ratio)}$





Optimization Results

Credibility Increased:

- Smaller risks receive markedly higher credibility.
- Larger risks receive nominally increased credibility.

Split Points Vary:

- Split Points for smaller risks are lower.
- Split Points for larger risks are higher.

Other Results:



- The efficiency test indicates very good results with deviations of less than 5% from unity.
- Fewer Expected Loss ranges.



Proposed ERP Performance Test

The following lift charts are produced using the optimized elements.



2015 - 2018



Distribution of Current and Proposed Mods





Policy Count and Premium Distribution





Change in Mod Type





Note: Based on PY 2018 data

Capping and Eligibility Summary

Most states use a Maximum Mod approach to limit the upside volatility primarily on smaller risks.

The Maximum Mod helps prevent the mod from becoming excessively high due to one or more large losses that may not accurately reflect overall loss experience.

The Maximum Mod approach primarily benefits small risks while swing limits provides stability for all risks.

Eligibility

Capping

Analyzed to address the transition between the Merit Plan and ERP plan for smaller risks.

Results show that the use of a Maximum Mod formula and potentially a lower eligibility amount improve the transition between the two plans.

Lowering the eligibility to \$5,000 results 11% more risks being experience rated.



Distribution of Policies

| Premium | Count | Percent |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Prem < 5,000 | 124,549 | 63% |
| 5,000< Prem <7,500 | 13,630 | 7% |
| 7,500< Prem <10,000 | 9,334 | 4% |
| 10,000< Prem <15,000 | 11,916 | 6% |
| Prem > 15,000 | 43,223 | 20% |
| Total | 202,651 | |



Note: Distribution is based on the average number of risks in policy years 2017 and 2018.

Current Capping Rules

- 1. Final Modification Capped to +/- 25% of Prior Modification.
- 2. If the indicated modification is less than unity (1.000) and the capped modification is greater than unity (1.000), then the final modification shall be set equal to unity (1.000).
 - This rule is referred to as "Secondary Capping" or the "Double Swing Cap".

| Rule | Prior Mod | Indicated Mod | Capped Mod | Final Capped Mod |
|------|-----------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| 2 | 1.563 | 0.827 | 1.172 | 1.000 |





Proposed Capping Rules

- Introduction of a Maximum Mod formula for capping, which also includes a +40% cap to limit larger annual upward movements. This will replace the current +/-25% swing limits and the secondary capping.
- The current capping rules (+/-25% swing limits and secondary capping) will also be kept for a two-year period to ensure mod stability as we transition to the new plan.



Maximum Modification Factors

Max Mod = 1.10 + 0.0004 (E/G)

| Exp Loss | 0 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1M |
|----------------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| Max Mod (G=10) | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 3.10 | 11.10 | 21.10 | 41.10 |
| Loss Free Mod | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.36 |





Example - Capping

Max Mod = 1.10 + 0.0004 (E/G)

| Exp Loss | 5,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1M |
|---------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| Max Mod(G=10) | 1.30 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 3.10 | 11.10 | 21.10 | 41.10 |

Current +/-25% Capping

| Expected Loss | Prior Mod | Indicated | Final Capped Mod YR 1 | Final Capped Mod YR 2 | Final Capped Mod YR 3 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| \$10,000 | 1.02 | 2.50 | 1.28 | 1.60 | 2.00 |
| | | | =1.02x 125% | =1.28 x 125% | =1.60 x 125% |

Updated Plan

| Scenario | Expected Loss | Prior Mod | Indicated | Capped Mod | Max Mod | Final Capped Mod YR 1 | Final Capped Mod YR 2 |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Transition | \$10,000 | 1.02 | 2.50 | 1.28 (+25%) | 1.50 | 1.28 | 1.50 |
| After Transition | \$10,000 | 1.02 | 2.50 | 1.43 (+40%) | 1.50 | 1. 43 | 1.50 |

Min (Max Mod, Capped Mod)

PENNSYLVANIA Compensation Rating Bureau

Policies Capped by Various Capping Approaches

| Eligibility | \$10,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------|
| Expected Loss | Current Plan | Current Plan Max Mod | |
| <10,000 | 1% | 3.7% | 3.8% |
| 10,000<=EL<=25,000 | 5% | 1.4% | 2.6% |
| 25,000 <el<=50,000< td=""><td>3%</td><td>0.046%</td><td>0.9%</td></el<=50,000<> | 3% | 0.046% | 0.9% |
| 50,000 <el<=250,000< td=""><td>3%</td><td>0.002%</td><td>0.5%</td></el<=250,000<> | 3% | 0.002% | 0.5% |
| > =250,000 | 0.27% | 0.000% | 0.1% |
| Total | 12% | 5% | 8% |

The +40% capping measure is estimated to impact about 3% of the risks

* Based on Policy Years 2017 and 2018



Policy Capping During and After Transition



Note: "Capped Mod" is calculated using Policy Year 2018 data and incorporates the application of the Max Mod formula along with a +40% capping measure. "Transition Mod" uses both Max Mod and +/-25% swing limit capping used during the transition period.



Impact on Premium





Summary of Filed Changes

| | Current | Proposed | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Plan | Single Split Point Variable Split Poi | | | |
| Formula | <u>Ap x C + E x C x L + E(1.000 – C)</u> E Ap = Actual Primary Loss, E = Expected Loss, C = Credibility, and | | | |
| | L = Limitation Charge | | | |
| Eligibility | \$10,000 | \$5,000 | | |
| Credibility | 0.283 - 0.938 | 0.690 - 0.974 | | |
| Expected Loss Range | 10,706 - 5,806,852 | 5,000 - 4,338,871 | | |
| Split Points | Single (1): \$42,500 | Variable (88): \$10,000 – \$300,000 | | |
| Med-Only Claims | 100% | 100% | | |
| Capping % | +-25% | Max Mod and 40% swing limit (2-year Transition Period*) | | |
| Secondary Capping | Yes (Rule #2) | Eliminate (After Transition Period*) | | |

* Transition Period: The new Max Mod will apply, however the current capping rules (+/-25% swing limits and secondary capping) will also apply for a 2-year period to ensure mod stability during the transition to the new plan.



ERP Information, Tools and Reports

- Experience Rating Website Page
 - News Items with links to the filing circular and exhibits
 - Webinar presentation and recording
 - ERP Factsheet
 - FAQ
 - Pamphlet (including new Mod Worksheet)
 - Links to ERP tools and Reports
 - Experience Modification Calculator
 - Carrier Reports
 - Mod Comparison Report
 - 3Yr Ratings Report
 - Need Help, Contact Us at
 - (215) 568-2371
 - <u>centralsupport@pcrb.com</u>







Appendix



Change in Modified Premium by Size



* Based on PY 2018 data. Premium based on mods after swing limits are applied.

Compensation Rating Bureau

Calculation of G value

| Policy Year | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Undeveloped Loss | 1,453,983,232 | 1,635,608,758 | 1,494,926,313 | 1,463,998,013 | 1,451,688,278 |
| Undeveloped Counts including Med-Only | 135,089 | 154,286 | 156,162 | 156,423 | 150,796 |
| State Average Cost Per Case | 10,763 | 10,601 | 9,573 | 9,359 | 9,627 |
| G | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 |

Selected 'G' value = 10 (Average of five policy years)



Credit to Debit Movements



Under the updated plan, expected loss at \$42,500 split point is around \$150,000.

| EL < 150,000 | 1,816 |
|--------------|-------|
| EL > 150,000 | 56 |

Count

254

364

1,254

1,872

| Current Plan | | | Updated Plan | | | IG | |
|--------------------|-------|--|------------------|-------|--|-------|--|
| < 0.9 | 10 | | 1 < Mod < 1.05 | 1,017 | | 1 | |
| 0.9 < Mod < 0.95 | 403 | | 1.05 < Mod < 1.1 | 625 | | 2 | |
| 0.95 < Mod < 0.975 | 770 | | 1.1 < Mod < 1.15 | 216 | | 3 | |
| 0.975 < Mod < 1.0 | 689 | | 1.15 < Mod < 1.2 | 12 | | TOTAL | |
| Credit to Debit | 1,872 | | > 1.2 | 2 | | | |
| | | | Credit to Debit | 1,872 | | | |



Distribution of Small Risks

| Mod Range | Indicated | Capped |
|-----------|-----------|--------|
| 0.75-0.95 | 18,714 | |
| 0.95-1 | 504 | |
| 1-1.05 | 293 | |
| 1.05-1.25 | 610 | 57 |
| 1.25-1.5 | 347 | 183 |
| 1.5-1.75 | 208 | 208 |
| 1.75-2 | 477 | 477 |
| > 2.0 | 972 | 972 |
| Total | 22,125 | 1,897 |



Note: 1,897 current merit rated risks would be capped to max mod between 1.1 and 1.5.



Policies Capped

| Mod Category | Current Plan (+/-25%) | Updated Plan (+/-25%) | Proposed Plan (Max Mod & +40%) |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Credit | 2% | 3% | 0% |
| Debit | 8% | 11% | 8% |
| Neutral | 1.5% | 1.6% | 0% |
| Total | 12% | 16% | 8% |

Most capped risks are smaller risks that are below \$25,000.



Distribution of Mod Change and Premium





ERP Credibility: Current vs. Proposed



The new variable split points by size of risk resulted in higher credibility values during the optimization process.



Table B: Credibilities and Maximum Values

| ſ | Expected | Losses | | | Expected | Expected Losses | | | Expected Losses | | | |
|---|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | Low | High | Credibility* | Split Point* | Low | High | Credibility | Split Point | Low | High | Credibility | Split Point |
| | - | 5,000 | 0.690 | 10,000 | 382,034 | 405,008 | 0.785 | 73,000 | 1,422,700 | 1,467,472 | 0.881 | 190,000 |
| | 5,000 | 11,097 | 0.692 | 11,000 | 405,008 | 428,814 | 0.788 | 75,000 | 1,467,472 | 1,513,704 | 0.884 | 194,000 |
| | 11,097 | 17,683 | 0.694 | 13,000 | 428,814 | 453,416 | 0.791 | 77,000 | 1,513,704 | 1,561,526 | 0.887 | 198,000 |
| | 17,683 | 23,953 | 0.697 | 15,000 | 453,416 | 478,780 | 0.794 | 80,000 | 1,561,526 | 1,611,076 | 0.890 | 202,000 |
| | 23,953 | 29,924 | 0.699 | 17,000 | 478,780 | 504,867 | 0.797 | 83,000 | 1,611,076 | 1,662,502 | 0.893 | 206,000 |
| | 29,924 | 35,614 | 0.701 | 19,000 | 504,867 | 531,643 | 0.800 | 86,000 | 1,662,502 | 1,715,957 | 0.896 | 210,000 |
| | 35,614 | 41,041 | 0.703 | 21,000 | 531,643 | 559,072 | 0.803 | 89,000 | 1,715,957 | 1,771,606 | 0.899 | 215,000 |
| | 41,041 | 55,902 | 0.706 | 23,000 | 559,072 | 587,119 | 0.806 | 92,000 | 1,771,606 | 1,829,621 | 0.902 | 220,000 |
| | 55,902 | 68,958 | 0.711 | 25,000 | 587,119 | 615,751 | 0.809 | 95,000 | 1,829,621 | 1,890,183 | 0.905 | 225,000 |
| | 68,958 | 80,590 | 0.715 | 27,000 | 615,751 | 644,938 | 0.812 | 98,000 | 1,890,183 | 1,953,479 | 0.908 | 230,000 |
| | 80,590 | 91,141 | 0.718 | 29,000 | 644,938 | 674,652 | 0.815 | 102,000 | 1,953,479 | 2,019,709 | 0.911 | 235,000 |
| | 91,141 | 100,920 | 0.722 | 31,000 | 674,652 | 704,871 | 0.818 | 106,000 | 2,019,709 | 2,089,078 | 0.914 | 240,000 |
| | 100,920 | 110,201 | 0.725 | 33,000 | 704,871 | 735,573 | 0.821 | 110,000 | 2,089,078 | 2,161,801 | 0.917 | 245,000 |
| | 110,201 | 119,228 | 0.728 | 35,000 | 735,573 | 766,742 | 0.824 | 114,000 | 2,161,801 | 2,238,101 | 0.920 | 250,000 |
| | 119,228 | 128,218 | 0.731 | 37,000 | 766,742 | 798,366 | 0.827 | 118,000 | 2,238,101 | 2,318,210 | 0.923 | 255,000 |
| | 128,218 | 137,358 | 0.734 | 39,000 | 798,366 | 830,440 | 0.830 | 122,000 | 2,318,210 | 2,402,367 | 0.926 | 260,000 |
| | 137,358 | 146,813 | 0.737 | 41,000 | 830,440 | 862,961 | 0.833 | 126,000 | 2,402,367 | 2,490,821 | 0.929 | 265,000 |
| | 146,813 | 156,724 | 0.740 | 43,000 | 862,961 | 895,933 | 0.836 | 130,000 | 2,490,821 | 2,583,829 | 0.932 | 270,000 |
| | 156,724 | 167,212 | 0.743 | 45,000 | 895,933 | 929,367 | 0.839 | 134,000 | 2,583,829 | 2,681,655 | 0.935 | 275,000 |
| | 167,212 | 178,379 | 0.746 | 47,000 | 929,367 | 963,278 | 0.842 | 138,000 | 2,681,655 | 2,784,572 | 0.938 | 280,000 |
| | 178,379 | 190,306 | 0.749 | 49,000 | 963,278 | 997,690 | 0.845 | 142,000 | 2,784,572 | 2,892,863 | 0.941 | 285,000 |
| | 190,306 | 203,062 | 0.752 | 51,000 | 997,690 | 1,032,631 | 0.848 | 146,000 | 2,892,863 | 3,006,815 | 0.944 | 290,000 |
| | 203,062 | 216,698 | 0.755 | 53,000 | 1,032,631 | 1,068,138 | 0.851 | 150,000 | 3,006,815 | 3,126,727 | 0.947 | 295,000 |
| | 216,698 | 231,254 | 0.758 | 55,000 | 1,068,138 | 1,104,253 | 0.854 | 154,000 | 3,126,727 | 3,252,905 | 0.950 | 300,000 |
| | 231,254 | 246,756 | 0.761 | 57,000 | 1,104,253 | 1,141,026 | 0.857 | 158,000 | 3,252,905 | 3,385,661 | 0.953 | 300,000 |
| | 246,756 | 263,220 | 0.764 | 59,000 | 1,141,026 | 1,178,516 | 0.860 | 162,000 | 3,385,661 | 3,525,316 | 0.956 | 300,000 |
| | 263,220 | 280,654 | 0.767 | 61,000 | 1,178,516 | 1,216,788 | 0.863 | 166,000 | 3,525,316 | 3,672,201 | 0.959 | 300,000 |
| | 280,654 | 299,053 | 0.770 | 63,000 | 1,216,788 | 1,255,914 | 0.866 | 170,000 | 3,672,201 | 3,826,650 | 0.962 | 300,000 |
| | 299,053 | 318,410 | 0.773 | 65,000 | 1,255,914 | 1,295,976 | 0.869 | 174,000 | 3,826,650 | 3,989,009 | 0.965 | 300,000 |
| | 318,410 | 338,707 | 0.776 | 67,000 | 1,295,976 | 1,337,061 | 0.872 | 178,000 | 3,989,009 | 4,159,630 | 0.968 | 300,000 |
| | 338,707 | 359,924 | 0.779 | 69,000 | 1,337,061 | 1,379,268 | 0.875 | 182,000 | 4,159,630 | 4,338,871 | 0.971 | 300,000 |
| | 359,924 | 382,034 | 0.782 | 71,000 | 1,379,268 | 1,422,700 | 0.878 | 186,000 | 4,338,871 | Above | 0.974 | 300,000 |

